The Cell Signaling Adaptor Protein EPS-8 Is Essential for C. elegans Epidermal Elongation and Interacts with the Ankyrin Repeat Protein VAB-19
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Abstract

Background: The epidermal cells of the C. elegans embryo undergo coordinated cell shape changes that result in the morphogenetic process of elongation. The cytoskeletal ankyrin repeat protein VAB-19 is required for cell shape changes and localizes to cell-matrix attachment structures. The molecular functions of VAB-19 in this process are obscure, as no previous interactors for VAB-19 have been described.

Methodology/Principal Findings: In screens for VAB-19 binding proteins we identified the signaling adaptor EPS-8. Within C. elegans epidermal cells, EPS-8 and VAB-19 colocalize at cell-matrix attachment structures. The central domain of EPS-8 is necessary and sufficient for its interaction with VAB-19. eps-8 null mutants, like vab-19 mutants, are defective in epidermal elongation and in epidermal-muscle attachment. The eps-8 locus encodes two isoforms, EPS-8A and EPS-8B, that appear to act redundantly in epidermal elongation. The function of EPS-8 in epidermal development involves its N-terminal PTB and central domains, and is independent of its C-terminal SH3 and actin-binding domains. VAB-19 appears to act earlier in the biogenesis of attachment structures and may recruit EPS-8 to these structures.

Conclusions/Significance: EPS-8 and VAB-19 define a novel pathway acting at cell-matrix attachments to regulate epithelial cell shape. This is the first report of a role for EPS-8 proteins in cell-matrix attachments. The existence of EPS-8-like isoforms in Drosophila suggests this function of EPS-8 proteins could be conserved among other organisms.

Introduction

Many organisms and organs develop from spherical or ovoid primordia that undergo elongation along an axis. The cellular bases for these elongation movements have been explored in a number of organisms [1]. In organs such as the vertebrate notochord, internally generated hydraulic pressure is resisted by an extracelular sheath whose geometry distributes the forces to the ends of the cylinder, resulting in elongation of the organ. The elongation of the Caenorhabditis elegans embryo is another example of a pressure-driven elongation process, but differs from the notochord in that the forces are generated by precisely arranged circumferential bundles of actin filaments in the apical layer of the enveloping epidermis. Contraction of these circumferentially oriented actin bundles (CFBs) in the epidermis causes coordinated contraction of the epidermal cells, and concomitant elongation of the epidermal cells (and all internal tissues) along the perpendicular anterior-posterior axis [2]. Analysis of elongation defective mutants has identified epidermal proteins that regulate actin-based contractions, supporting the model that actomyosin based contraction of lateral epidermal cells provides the driving force for epidermal cell shape change [3–6]. Epidermal elongation also requires epidermal cell-matrix attachment structures known as fibrous organelles [7] or trans-epidermal attachments (TEAs) [8]. Trans-epidermal attachments are composed of apical and basal hemidesmosome-like membrane plaques connected by intermediate filaments (IFs). TEAs are found in dorsal and ventral epidermal cells, where they connect underlying body wall muscles (or their associated basement membrane) to the apical cuticle. Within epidermal cells, TEAs are further segregated into stripes between the circumferential actin bundles.

Several components of attachment structures are essential for epidermal elongation. VAB-10A, the C. elegans ortholog of the cytoskeletal linker protein Plectin, is localized to the membrane-proximal plaques of TEAs, and is essential for elongation [9].
cytoplasmic intermediate filament proteins IFB-1 and IFA-3 are also found in embryonic attachment structures and are required for elongation [10]. The ankyrin repeat protein VAB-19 is also essential for epidermal elongation [11]. VAB-19 localizes to trans-epidermal attachments but is not required for their initial assembly. VAB-19 instead appears to be required for later localization of attachment structures to muscle-adjacent regions of epidermis.

VAB-19 is a member of a conserved family of ankyrin repeat containing proteins thought to function in the actin cytoskeleton [12]. A human ortholog of VAB-19, Kank, was identified as a candidature tumor suppressor for renal cell carcinoma [13]. In mammalian cells the Kank protein can inhibit actin stress fiber formation via the RhoA GTPase [14]. Kank is thought to regulate RhoA as part of a complex with 14-3-3 proteins, which interact with coiled coil motifs in the Kank N-terminus. However, many questions remain concerning how VAB-19/Kank related proteins are regulated and how they function in vivo. To understand how VAB-19 functions in C. elegans epithelial cell shape change we focused on its most highly conserved region, the ANK repeat domain, which is necessary for VAB-19 function but not for its subcellular localization [11]. As ANK repeats function as protein-protein interaction interfaces, VAB-19 may bind one or more conserved proteins via this domain.

Here we report that the cell signaling adaptor protein EPS-8 interacts with the VAB-19 ANK domain, and that EPS-8 colocalizes with VAB-19 at epidermal attachment structures. Eps8 has been implicated in many aspects of growth factor signaling and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton [15]. Vertebrate genomes encode multiple Eps8-related genes [16], whereas the C. elegans genome contains a single locus, eps-8. Previous work has shown that C. elegans EPS-8 functions in regulation of the apical actin cytoskeleton of the intestinal epithelium [17] and in promoting basolateral localization of the epidermal growth factor receptor ortholog LET-23 in vulval epithelial cells [18]. We show here that EPS-8 also has an essential role in the morphogenesis of the embryonic epidermal epithelium, apparently independent of a direct interaction with the actin cytoskeleton. Our studies reveal a novel role for a member of the Eps8 family in epithelial cell-matrix attachments.

Results

EPS-8 and VAB-19 interact directly and colocalize to trans-epidermal attachment structures

To understand how VAB-19 regulates epidermal morphogenesis, we used the C-terminal ankyrin repeat domain of VAB-19 as bait in a yeast two-hybrid screen, and identified C. elegans EPS-8 as a VAB-19 interactor (see Materials and Methods). Eps8 proteins typically contain a N-terminal phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domain, a central domain conserved among the Eps8 family and implicated in EGFR binding, an SH3 domain, and a C-terminal ‘effector’ domain that binds actin [19-22]. We mapped the interacting domains between VAB-19 and EPS-8 in yeast (Figure 1A) and found that the central domain of EPS-8 (residues 272–502) was necessary for binding VAB-19. Constructs containing only the central domain (residues 245–502) displayed a weaker interaction with VAB-19ANK, suggesting that although the central domain is sufficient for an interaction, the combination of the PTB and central domains may be required for a strong interaction.

vab-19 is expressed in dorsal and ventral epidermal cells from early elongation stages onwards [11]. We found that eps-8 transcriptional reporters were likewise expressed in dorsal and ventral embryonic epidermal cells beginning soon after epidermal enclosure (Figure 1B) and persisting in larval and adult stages (Figure 1C). To examine subcellular localization of EPS-8 within epidermal cells we inserted GFP into the N-terminus of EPS-8 and expressed it under the control of the epidermal-specific vab-19 promoter. The eps-8 locus generates two isoforms that differ in their C-termini, as a result of alternative splicing: EPS-8A contains the C-terminal actin binding ‘effector’ domain, whereas EPS-8B does not [17] (Figure 2A). Both EPS-8::GFP fusion proteins displayed indistinguishable patterns in the epidermis, and are referred to generically as EPS-8::GFP. In post-embryonic stages EPS-8::GFP was localized to circumferential bands in epidermis adjacent to muscles (Figure 1D), a pattern corresponding to trans-epidermal attachments. We also found EPS-8::GFP localized to other sites of trans-epidermal attachments (Figure 1E, F). These results indicate that in epidermal cells EPS-8, like VAB-19, is localized to sites of cell-matrix attachments.

To determine whether EPS-8 localizes to a specific part of cell-matrix attachments we examined attachment structures of pharyngeal marginal cells. Pharyngeal attachment structures resemble epidermal attachment structures in molecular composition, as they contain MH1-positive intermediate filaments, Plectin/VAB-10A, and Myotactin at their basal ends. Within these larger structures it is possible to distinguish apical versus basal localization of attachment structure components. EPS-8A::GFP and EPS-8B::GFP were enriched only at the apical ends of marginal cells (Figure 1G, arrow; basal surface of pharynx indicated by open arrowhead), in contrast to VAB-19::GFP, which is found at both apical and basal ends (Fig 1H, arrow and arrowhead respectively). Extrapolating from these data, EPS-8 may also be restricted to the apical ends of attachment structures in epidermal cells.

To determine whether the same regions that interact with VAB-19 are also important for localization we tested whether specific domains of EPS-8 could direct protein localization. We found that mCherry::EPS-8(cen) localized to epidermal attachment structures (Figure 1I, J) whereas mCherry::EPS-8(PTB) did not (data not shown). mCherry::EPS-8(cen) localized with VAB-19::GFP at epidermal attachment structures (Figure 1K). VAB-19::GFP also displayed colocalization with endogenous EPS-8, as detected using the antibody K49 [17] (data not shown). The subcellular colocalization of EPS-8(cen);mCherry and VAB-19::GFP to epidermal attachment structures is consistent with a direct interaction of the EPS-8 central domain and VAB-19 in vivo.

Loss of EPS-8 function results in epidermal elongation defects resembling those of vab-19 mutants

Loss of vab-19 function causes a distinctive combination of late epidermal elongation defects and detachment of body muscles, a syndrome often reflective of defects in muscle-epidermal adhesion or defects in epidermal attachment structures. RNA interference of eps-8 caused epidermal morphogenesis phenotypes resembling those of vab-19 mutants (Figure 2B). Injection of eps-8 dsRNA into the syncytial gonads of wild type N2 hermaphrodites caused 100% lethality of F1 progeny laid between 5 and 20 h post injection (n = 569 progeny of 14 parents); of these progeny, 65.4% arrested at the twofold stage of elongation and displayed detachment of body muscles. The remainder of the eps-8(RNAi) progeny arrested as hatched threefold-stage embryos (e.g. Figure 2B) or L1s. This highly penetrant embryonic morphogenetic defect contrasts with the phenotypes of eps-8(bh160) mutants, all of which display normal elongation and arrest as starved L1s (Figure 2C). To understand the basis of this discrepancy between these RNAi phenotypes and the eps-8(bh160) phenotypes, we generated a new
Figure 1. EPS-8 interacts with VAB-19 and colocalizes with VAB-19 at epithelial attachment structures. (A) The VAB-19 ANK repeat domain interacts with the central domain of EPS-8. The initial interacting clone corresponds to almost full length EPS-8A. Deletion derivatives of EPS-8A were screened for interaction with VAB-19ANK in yeast. A fragment containing the central domain (EPS-8A residues 245–502) is sufficient to interact with VAB-19 ANK domains, although constructs containing part of the PTB domain interact more strongly. The PTB domain alone (residues 25–264) does not interact with VAB-19ANK. None of the constructs cause self-activation (pBTM116 controls). (B, C) GFP expressed under the control of a 3.3 kb eps-8 promoter (Peps-8-GFP, juEx526) is first seen in ventral and dorsal epidermal cells at comma stage (350 min) and persists in these tissues throughout larval and adult stages. Peps-8-GFP was also expressed in sublateral neurons (arrow, C). The 3.3 kb eps-8 promoter likely drives a subset of the eps-8 expression pattern, as eps-8 has been shown to be expressed in intestinal and pharyngeal cells [17]. (D) EPS-8B::GFP (juEx700) was localized to circumferential bands in muscle-adjacent epidermis in embryos (not shown) and in larvae. We detected EPS-8B::GFP at other known sites of trans-epidermal attachments: adjacent to mechanosensory neuron processes (arrow, E), and at the uterine seam cell attachment (arrow, F). EPS-8::GFP was
EPS-8 null mutants are defective in embryonic morphogenesis and muscle attachment. (A) Structure of EPS-8 isoforms and predicted effects of by160 and jc36 deletions. The location of the ok539 intron deletion is shown in Croce et al., 2004 [17]. (B) Three-fold arrest with detached body wall muscles (arrow) in eps-8(RNAi) animal. (C) Arrested eps-8(by160) larva with normal epidermal morphology. (D–F) Frames from 4D time lapse movies of wild type (D), eps-8(jc36) mutants (E), and vab-19(mu406)/Df embryos (F) at time points equivalent to wild-type comma stage (395 minutes after first cleavage), two-fold (~450 minutes), and three-fold (~500 minutes). Like vab-19 embryos, eps-8(jc36) mutants elongate at normal rates to the two-fold stage (n = 12 embryos recorded). vab-19 embryos stop elongating within 5–10 minutes of the twofold stage, whereas all eps-8(jc36) embryos elongate to a 2.5- to 3-fold stage, stop elongating about an hour after the twofold stage, and then partly retract. eps-8(jc36) embryos show normal muscle twitching and, unlike vab-19, show vigorous movements within the eggshell; these movements stop after elongation arrest. Unlike vab-19 mutants, which typically hatch as lumpy two-fold embryos, most jc36 embryos do not hatch. Scales, 10 μm. (G, H) Rescue of eps-8(jc36) elongation defects by P[vab-19-EPS-8B::GFP (juEx703)]; GFP (G) and DIC (H). (I) Enhancement of vab-19(e1036cs) embryonic lethality by the eps-8b(ok539) allele; bars show mean±SEM for 5 complete broods for each genotype; P < 0.001 by two-tailed t test. (J) Typical vab-19(e1036) eps-8(ok539) embryo from parents raised at 15°C, showing two-fold arrest and deformed head epidermis (arrow).
deletion mutation, \textit{eps-8(e36)} (Figure 2A; Methods). \textit{eps-8(jc36)} mutants displayed 100\% embryonic lethality due to fully penetrant defects in embryonic elongation and muscle attachment, corresponding to the most severe phenotypes observed in our RNAi experiments. Using time-lapse analysis (n = 12) we found \textit{eps-8(jc36)} embryos arrest during late elongation, although the stage of arrest is significantly later than that of \textit{vab-19} mutants (Figure 2D–F). We conclude that while \textit{eps-8} is essential for morphogenetic cell shape changes of embryonic epidermal elongation, it may act later in this process than does VAB-19.

The \textit{jc36} deletion truncates EPS-8 isoforms within the PTB domain. In contrast, \textit{hy160}, which truncates EPS-8 in the central domain and deletes the SH3 domain of both isoforms, has no effect on epidermal morphogenesis, suggesting that the embryonic roles of EPS-8 do not require the SH3 domain or the C-terminal part of the central domain. A similar larval arrest is observed when the EPS-8A isoform is specifically targeted by RNAi [17]. A deletion of the 3’ UTR of the B isoform, \textit{eps-8(ok359)}, eliminates expression of \textit{eps-8B} and has no effect on embryogenesis or larval morphogenesis (Ref. 17; Figure 2H). These allelic differences imply that the morphogenetic function of EPS-8 requires its PTB domain, and possibly the N-terminal part of the central domain, but not the SH3 domain or C-terminal actin binding domains. Further, the two EPS-8 isoforms may function redundantly in epidermal morphogenesis, as removal of either isoform alone does not affect morphogenesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, either EPS-8A::GFP or EPS-8B::GFP fusion proteins fully rescued \textit{eps-8(jc36)} embryonic phenotypes when expressed under the control of the \textit{vab-19} promoter (Figure 2G, H). These rescue experiments also indicate that EPS-8 functions cell autonomously in the epidermis to promote morphogenesis.

To test whether VAB-19 and EPS-8 display genetic interactions consistent with function in a common pathway we constructed double mutants using the partial loss of function allele \textit{vab-19(e1036)} and the phenotypically silent allele \textit{eps-8(ok359), vab-19(e1036)} is a cold-sensitive allele that appears to eliminate function at 15°C [11]. Under conditions where \textit{vab-19(e1036)} displayed 53\% embryonic lethality, the \textit{vab-19(e1036) eps-8(ok359)} double mutant displayed 92\% embryonic lethality (Figure 2I) (P \textless 0.001 by t test). Such \textit{vab-19 eps-8} double mutants resembled the \textit{vab-19} null phenotype, in that they arrested at the two-fold stage of embryogenesis with detached muscles and deformed head and tail epidermis (Figure 2J). However, at higher temperatures the penetrance of lethal phenotypes in \textit{vab-19 eps-8} double mutants was not significantly different from \textit{vab-19} single mutants (not shown). These observations suggest that although elimination of the EPS-8B isoform does not itself have a dramatic effect on morphogenesis, it can affect development when VAB-19 is present in limiting amounts. This dose-dependent phenotypic enhancement is consistent with VAB-19 and EPS-8 acting in a common pathway or in closely related parallel pathways in controlling epidermal morphogenesis.

### Evidence that VAB-19 recruits EPS-8 to attachment structures

Although VAB-19 and EPS-8 both promote attachment structure development, two lines of evidence suggest VAB-19 may act earlier than EPS-8. First, the elongation defects of \textit{vab-19} mutants become apparent slightly earlier than those of \textit{eps-8} mutants. Second, whereas VAB-19 is localized to attachment structures beginning in early elongation (1.5-fold), EPS-8 fusion proteins did not appear clearly localized to attachment structures until the three-fold stage (Figure 4A, B). These differences in phenotype and localization suggest VAB-19 might act earlier than EPS-8 in a pathway of attachment structure biogenesis.

To further test this hypothesis we investigated whether VAB-19 was required for EPS-8 localization, or vice versa. In \textit{vab-19(e1036)} mutants at the restrictive temperature mCherry::EPS-8 (central) never became localized to circumferential stripes (Figure 4C, D), suggesting EPS-8 localization is dependent on VAB-19. Conversely, functional VAB-19::GFP was correctly localized to longitudinal bands in \textit{eps-8(jc36)} embryos prior to their elongation arrest (Figure 4G), although after arrest VAB-19::GFP became disorganized (Figure 4H). These results indicate that VAB-19’s initial localization to muscle-adjacent regions of the epidermis is independent of EPS-8.

### Discussion

We have identified a novel role for the cell signaling adaptor EPS-8 in \textit{C. elegans} epithelial cell-matrix attachments. In other organisms Eps8 participates in several distinct processes via interactions mediated by its C-terminal SH3 or effector domains [17,21,26,27]. However, our analysis indicates these known pathways are unlikely to account for the role of EPS-8 in the \textit{C. elegans} embryonic epidermis, which we find is independent of the SH3 domain and effector domains. Instead, the N-terminal PTB and the central domain of EPS-8 are important for its role in cell-
matrix attachments. Our data are consistent with a model (Figure 4M) in which VAB-19 is initially localized to cell-matrix attachments via motifs in its N-terminus. As yet it is unknown which protein(s) interact with the VAB-19 N-terminal motifs. A candidate is VAB-10A, which is present at all VAB-19-containing cell-matrix attachment structures [9]. Our attempts to test this possibility using the two-hybrid procedure have so far been inconclusive due to the strongly self-activating properties of the relevant VAB-19 and VAB-10 domains in LexA fusions (not shown). The VAB-19 ANK repeats then provide an interaction surface that recruits EPS-8 isoforms via the EPS-8 central domain. Localized EPS-8 might then interact with, recruit, or exclude additional proteins via its PTB domain, or VAB-19 and EPS-8 might together form a complex that recruits additional proteins. In vivo ligands for the Eps8 PTB domain have not been reported; screening of peptide libraries indicates the Eps8 PTB domain recognizes NPXY motifs independent of phosphotyrosine [28]. Finding additional interacting partners for Eps8 PTB domains could elucidate its function at cell matrix attachments.

Mammalian Eps8 binds the adaptor IRSp53, via Proline rich surfaces in the Eps8 N- and C-termini, forming a complex with actin-bundling activity [29,30].

Figure 3. eps-8 mutants display late-onset defects in epidermal attachment structure development and in the epidermal actin cytoskeleton. (A–D) Localization of epidermal intermediate filaments (MH4 immunostaining) in the wild type and in eps-8(jc36) mutants. At the 1.5-fold stage (A), IFs were restricted in muscle-adjacent region of epidermis. (B) After the twofold stage, IFs localize to regularly spaced circumferential stripes. (C–D) In eps-8 mutants, IFs appear normal until after the twofold stage, when they expand into regions of epidermal cells that are not adjacent to muscle (arrowhead), compared to the wild type. (E–H) Myotactin expression (MH46 immunostaining) in the wild type and in eps-8 mutants. (E, G) During early elongation (1.5 to two-fold), Myotactin appears normal in eps-8 mutants. By the threefold stage, Myotactin localization refines to circumferential stripes in muscle-adjacent regions of epidermis (F). (H) In eps-8 mutants, Myotactin is still localized to muscle-adjacent regions but remains in longitudinal bands rather than circumferential stripes. (I, J) In the wild type, circumferential bundles of F-actin (phalloidin staining) are continuous around the embryo. Insets I’ and J’ show actin bundles at higher magnification. In eps-8 mutants, actin filaments were more randomly oriented or missing from the apical surface of the epidermis (K, K’). Actin filaments were also disorganized and fragmented in lateral epidermal cells (L, L’, asterisk). Scale, 10 μm.
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terminal PXXP motif implicated in IRSp53 binding, and the C. elegans genome does not appear to encode an ortholog of IRSp53. Because VAB-19 and EPS-8 do not colocalize with the circumferential actin bundles in the epidermis, it is possible that the EPS-8/VAB-19 pathway functions differently from EPS-8/IRSp53 in the actin cytoskeleton.

Although as yet there is no evidence that this cell-matrix attachment role of EPS8 is conserved in other organisms, it is interesting to note that the Drosophila gene attachment role of Eps8 is conserved in other organisms, it is IRSp53 in the actin cytoskeleton.

Because VAB-19 and EPS-8 do not colocalize with the circumferential actin bundles in the epidermis, it is possible that the EPS-8/VAB-19 pathway functions differently from EPS-8/IRSp53 in the actin cytoskeleton.
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97 of EPS-8, immediately N-terminal to the PTB domain. Three transgenic lines (juEx661, juEx662, juEx664) were generated. The Pvak-19::EPS-8B::GFP construct pCZ617 was generated in an equivalent strategy by inserting the 3.3 kb vkb-19 promoter sequence into yk4046 and then subcloning GFP into the Aar II site. Four lines (juEx700-703) were obtained. Both epidermally expressed EPS-8A and EPS-8B transgenes fully rescued the elongation defects of eps-8(jc36) mutants; transgenic animals arrested in larval stages because the transgenes do not rescue the eps-8 intestinal defects.

For the EPS-8 domain localization constructs we used Gateway recombination cloning (Invitrogen). We generated Gateway entry clones corresponding to the PTB and central domains (residues 1–185 and 186–380 of EPS-8A) and recombined these clones with a destination vector (pCZG500) containing the epidermal specific dpy-7 promoter [34] and a C. elegans-optimized version of mCherry [35] as an N-terminal tag. Pdpy-7-mCherry::EPS-8(PTB) (pCZG595) and Pdpy-7-mCherry::EPS-8(cen) (pCZG594) DNAs were injected at 50 ng/µl with the coinjection marker Pits-3-GFP to generate transgenic arrays juEx1776-1781 and juEx1782-1783 respectively.

Yeast two-hybrid screen

The VAB-19 C-terminal ANK repeat domain (residues 620–1040) was amplified by PCR and cloned into the Sac I site of pBTM116, in-frame with the LexA DNA binding domain, creating pCZ618. A C. elegans embryonic cDNA library in the pACT2 vector (Clontech) was generously provided by Z. Zhou and H.R. Horvitz. DNA was transformed into the L4 yeast strain stably transformed with LexA-driven HIS3 and LexA-driven LacZ. Transformants were selected for the ability to grow on -His plates supplemented with 2 mM 3-aminotriazole and subsequently screened for β-galactosidase synthesis. From a screen of 1.3 × 10^6 colonies we obtained 29 positive interacting clones. We tested the most strongly interacting genes defined by the clones for coexpression in epidermal cells using transcriptional reporters, and for phenotypic overlap with vkb-19 by RNA interference. To determine the regions of EPS-8 sufficient for interaction we used PCR to make deletion derivatives of the initial interacting clone and inserted these into the pACT2 vector using BamHI I and Xho I sites in the primers.

RNA interference

The template for the sense and antisense eps-8 RNA transcript was the eps-8 cDNA subcloned into L4440 vector (clone MDC55). Sense and antisense RNAs were produced in separate transcription reactions using T7 primers and an RNA synthesis kit (Promega). Sense and antisense RNAs were mixed to a final concentration of ~1–5 µg/µl in water and injected into the gonad. We scored progeny broods laid by single injected animals at 20°C from 5–20 h post-injection. For 4-D imaging, embryos were obtained from L4 hermaphrodites injected with 4 µg/µl dsRNA generated from cDNA yk393c10 with Ambion MEGA-Script T3 and T7 kits using standard methods.

References


Time lapse imaging

To analyze the VAB-19::GFP or mCherry::EPS-8 in live embryos (images in Figure 4) we used either a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope or a spinning disk confocal microscope (Solamere Technology Group, Salt Lake City, UT), consisting of a Zeiss Axiovert 200 stand, a Yokogawa CSU-X1 high speed confocal scan head, a Photometrics Cascade II EMCCD camera, and appropriate laser lines (491 and 561 nm).

Immunofluorescence and phalloidin staining

Whole mount immunofluorescence staining was performed following the Finney-Ruvkun protocol [36]. To analyze EPS-8::GFP in embryos, Clorox treated animals were fixed in MRWB containing 1% paraformaldehyde for 3.5 hrs on ice and incubated with anti-GFP antibodies (Chemicon) at 1:100 dilution and appropriate secondary antibodies. MH4 and MH46 (Developmental Hybridoma Studies Bank, University of Iowa) were used at 1:300 dilution. Images were collected using a Zeiss Axiostar or Zeiss LSM510 confocal. Phalloidin staining was performed as described [11]. Images presented in Figure 3 and 4 are representative of 5–10 embryos examined for each condition.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Similarity of C. elegans EPS-8B and Drosophila Arouser (A) Domain organization of C. elegans EPS-8-B, Drosophila melanogaster Arouser isoform PA, and Human Eps8. PTB and SH3 domains are annotated using alignments with NCBI conserved domains, and the central domain is defined according to Tocchetti et al., 2003 [16]. (B) ClustalW 2.0 alignment of the EPS-8B central domain and N-terminus of that of Drosophila Aru and human Eps8 (excluding the effector domain). An earlier alignment of C. elegans EPS-8 in Tocchetti et al., 2003, was based on an inaccurate gene structure prediction; the correct EPS-8B sequence is used here. EPS-8B and Aru have identical C-termini: GKRGEFRYF.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003346.s001 (1.29 MB EPS)
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